

LAW OFFICES OF  
**McGINN & GIBB, PLLC**  
A PROFESSIONAL LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY  
PATENTS, TRADEMARKS, COPYRIGHTS, AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW  
8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 200  
VIENNA, VIRGINIA 22182-3817  
TELEPHONE: (703) 761-4100  
FACSIMILE/DATA: (703) 761-2375; 761-2376  
E-MAIL: MCGINNGIBB @ AOL.COM

**RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

**MAY 26 2005**

SEAN M. MCGINN  
PHILLIP E. MILLER†  
FREDERICK E. COOPERRIDER†  
JAMES E. HOWARD†  
JAMES N. DRESSER  
JOHN J. DRESCH  
SCOTT M. TULINO  
J. BRADLEY WRIGHT†  
†MEMBER OF BAR OTHER THAN VA

ANNAPOLIS, MD OFFICE  
FREDERICK W. GIBB, III  
MOHAMMAD S. RAHMANT  
PAMELA M. RILEY†

May 26, 2005

**VIA FACSIMILE**

To: Examiner Patrick L. Miller  
Group Art Unit: 2837  
U.S.P.T.O.

Facsimile No.: (703) 872-9306

From: James E. Howard

Facsimile No.: (703) 761-2375 or 76

Re: Enclosed Amendment Under 37 CFR §1.116  
U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 10/695,995  
Docket No.: K06-163512M/TBS

Dear Examiner Miller:

Enclosed for filing is an Amendment in response to the Final Office Action mailed on February 28, 2005, which should place the above-referenced case in condition for allowance.

Thank you in advance for your kind consideration on this case.

Very truly yours,



James E. Howard

JEH/geb

Enclosures

Total pages transmitted: 8

**RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

**MAY 26 2005**

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

**In re Application of**

Terutaka TAMAIZUMI, et al.

**Serial No.:** 10/695,995      **Group Art Unit:** 2837

**Filed:** October 30, 2003      **Examiner:** Patrick L. Miller

**For:** ELECTRIC POWER STEERING DEVICE AND METHOD FOR CONTROLLING  
THE SAME

Honorable Commissioner of Patents  
Box AF  
Alexandria, VA 22313 - 1450

**AMENDMENT AFTER FINAL REJECTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.116**

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated February 28, 2005, please amend the above-identified application as follows:

**INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS**

Claims 1-9 are all the claims presently pending in the application. Claims 2, 4-5, and 7-8 are amended to more clearly define the invention. Claims 1, 3-4, and 7 are independent.